

# Graph coloring

## Lecture notes, vol. 3, Bounds on $\chi(G)$ including Brooks Theorem

Lecturer: Michal Adamaszek

Scribe: Rolf C. Jørgensen

---

**Observation 1.** *Every color class is an independent set.*

*Proof.* If  $c(x) = c(y)$  then  $xy \notin E(G)$ . □

**Lemma 2.** *The following are equivalent:*

(1)  $G$  is  $k$ -colorable

(2)  $V(G)$  can be partitioned into  $k$  independent sets

(3) There exists a graph homomorphism  $G \rightarrow K_k$

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2): Assume (1) and choose a coloring  $c: G \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then  $c^{-1}(1), \dots, c^{-1}(k)$  partitions  $G$  into  $k$  independent sets. On the other hand if (2) holds we can write  $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$  where each  $V_j$  is an independent set and  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  when  $i \neq j$ . Define a coloring  $c: G \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  by  $c(v) = i$  if  $v \in V_i$ . Then  $c$  is clearly a coloring. So we have that (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2).

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3): If  $f: G \rightarrow K_k$  is a homomorphism then  $f^{-1}(i)$  is an independent set. This shows (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose  $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$ ,  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ , with each  $V_i$  an independent set. Define  $f: G \rightarrow K_k$  by  $f(v) = i$  if  $v \in V_i$ . If  $vw \in E(G)$  then  $v \in V_i$  and  $w \in V_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $f(v)f(w) = ij \in E(K_k)$  since  $i \neq j$ . □

The next lemma says that “bigger graphs have bigger chromatic numbers”.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $H \subset G$  ( $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$ ) then  $\chi(H) \leq \chi(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Any coloring  $c: V(G) \rightarrow C$  restricts to a coloring  $c: V(H) \rightarrow C$ . □

## Lower bounds on $\chi(G)$

**Lemma 4.** *For any graph  $G$*

(1)  $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$

(2)  $\chi(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|}{\alpha(G)}$

*Proof.* (1): If  $\omega(G) = k$ , then  $G$  contains a clique on  $k$  vertices, i.e.  $K_k \subset G$ . Then  $k = \chi(K_k) \leq \chi(G)$  by the lemma.

(2): Suppose  $\chi(G) = k$ . Then some color class has size at least  $\frac{1}{k}|V(G)|$ . Therefore we have an independent set of size  $\geq \frac{1}{k}|V(G)|$ , which means  $\alpha(G) \geq \frac{1}{k}|V(G)|$ . □

**Example 5.**  $\chi(C_{2n+1}) = 3$  although  $\omega(C_{2n+1}) = 2$  for  $n \geq 2$ . So it can happen that  $\chi > \omega$ .

## Upper bounds on $\chi(G)$

**Definition 6.** The greedy coloring relative to a vertex ordering  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of the vertices of  $G$  is obtained by coloring in this order subject to the rule:

$$c(v_i) = \text{first available color that does not appear among } N(v_i) \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$$

**Theorem 7.** *The greedy algorithm uses at most  $\Delta(G) + 1$  colors. In particular  $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$  for any  $G$ .*

*Proof.* When coloring  $v_i$  at most  $\Delta(G)$  colors are forbidden, because  $v_i$  has at most  $\Delta(G)$  neighbors, so it has  $\leq \Delta(G)$  already colored neighbors. They use  $\leq \Delta(G)$  different colors, so at least one color from  $\{1, \dots, \Delta(G) + 1\}$  is available for  $v_i$ . □

**Observation 8.** *There is room for improvement if we choose some special vertex ordering.*

**Example 9.** Order the vertices so that  $\deg(v_1) \geq \deg(v_2) \geq \dots \geq \deg(v_n)$ .

**Theorem 10.** *With respect to the above ordering, the greedy method uses at most  $1 + \max_i (\min(\deg(v_i), i - 1))$  colors. In particular  $\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_i \min(\deg(v_i), i - 1)$ .*

*Proof.*  $v_i$  has at most  $\min(\deg(v_i), i - 1)$  neighbors among  $v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}$ . □

**Exercise 11.**  $\max_i \min(\deg(v_i), i - 1) \leq \Delta(G)$  so this bound is at least as good as  $\Delta(G) + 1$  (often much better).

**Example 12.** Order the  $v_i$ 's so that for each  $i$ ,  $v_i$  is some vertex of smallest degree in  $G[\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}]$  (the sub-graph induced by  $\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$ ). Construction:

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &= \text{vertex of minimal degree in } G \\ v_{n-1} &= \text{vertex of minimal degree in } G - v_n \\ v_{n-2} &= \text{vertex of minimal degree in } G - v_n - v_{n-1} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 13.** *With this ordering, the greedy method needs at most  $1 + \max_H \delta(H)$  colors, where the maximum is over all induced subgraphs  $H$  of  $G$ .*

*Proof.*  $v_i$  has exactly  $\deg_{G[\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}]}(v_i)$  neighbors among  $v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}$ . But that number is exactly  $\delta(G[\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}]) \leq \max_H \delta(H)$  □

**Example 14.** Where  $\chi = \Delta + 1$ :

- (1)  $\chi(K_n) = n = \Delta(K_n) + 1$
- (2)  $\chi(C_{2n+1}) = 3 = \Delta(C_{2n+1}) + 1$

**Theorem 15** (Brooks). *If  $G$  is a connected graph, which is not complete, nor an odd cycle, then  $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ . If  $\Delta \leq 2$  then  $G$  is a path or a cycle, and the result is easy. Assume  $\Delta \geq 3$ . Pick any vertex  $v \in V(G)$  with  $\deg(v) = \Delta$ . Let  $H = G - v$ . The proof is by induction on the number of vertices  $|V(G)|$ . Suppose, for contradiction,  $G$  is not  $\Delta$ -colorable.  $H$  is a graph with  $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta$ . By induction (since  $|V(H)| = |V(G)| - 1$ )  $H$  is  $\Delta(H)$ -colorable so in particular  $\Delta$ -colorable. (Be careful: what if  $H$  is an odd cycle or a complete graph? We dealt with these cases in the exercises). Fix any coloring  $c$  of  $H$  with  $\Delta$  colors. Since  $\deg(v) = \Delta$  we can assume that all neighbors of  $V$  have different colors. Otherwise there is a spare color we can assign to  $v$  and  $G$  would be  $\Delta$ -colorable. Label the vertices in  $N(v)$  such that  $N(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_\Delta\}$  and  $c(v_i) = i$ . Define  $H_{i,j}$  be the subgraph of  $H$  induced by vertices of colors  $i$  and  $j$  ( $i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, \dots, \Delta\}$ ).

**Claim (0).**  $H_{i,j}$  is bipartite and  $v_i, v_j \in V(H_{i,j})$ .

*Proof.* Obvious. □

**Claim (1).**  $v_i, v_j$  are in the same connected component  $C_{i,j}$  of  $H_{i,j}$

*Proof.* If not then  $H_{i,j}$  is the disjoint union of two subgraphs:  $H_{i,j} = H_{i,j}^{(1)} \cup H_{i,j}^{(2)}$  such that  $v_i \in H_{i,j}^{(1)}$  and  $v_j \in H_{i,j}^{(2)}$ . Define a new coloring  $c'$  of  $H$  by swapping the colors in  $H_{i,j}^{(2)}$  i.e. define  $c'(v) = i$  if  $c(v) = j$  and vice versa for all  $v \in H_{i,j}^{(2)}$ . (The new  $c'$  is still a coloring). With this new coloring  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  have the same colors, so we have a spare color for  $v$  which as before is a contradiction. □

**Claim (2).**  $C_{i,j}$  is a path.

*Proof.* First note that  $\deg_{H_{i,j}}(v_i) = 1$  because otherwise  $v_i$  has two neighbors of color  $j$ . Moreover  $\deg_H(v_i) \leq \Delta - 1$ . Then there exist  $k \neq i$  such that we can recolor  $v_i$  with color  $k$  and still have a coloring of  $H$  and we get a spare color for  $v$ . Analogously we have  $\deg_{H_{i,j}}(v_j) = 1$ . Let  $u$  be a degree  $\geq 3$  vertex in  $C_{i,j}$  closest to  $v_i$ . Then  $c(u) = i$  or  $c(u) = j$ , assume  $c(u) = i$ . Again:  $\deg_H(u) \leq \Delta$ , but neighbors of  $u$  have at most  $\Delta - 2$  colors. We can recolor  $u$  with some  $k \neq i, j$ . Then  $v_i, v_j$  land in different components of  $H_{i,j}$  contrary to claim 1.  $\square$

**Claim (3).**  $C_{i,j} \cap C_{i,k} = \{v_i\}$  if  $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$ .

*Proof.* If not then there is some  $u \in C_{i,j} \cap C_{i,k}$  such that  $u \neq v_i$ .  $\deg(u) \leq \Delta$  and  $u$  has two neighbors of color  $j$  and two of color  $k$ . Therefore neighbors of  $u$  use  $\leq \Delta - 2$  colors and we can recolor  $u$  with some color  $\neq i, j, k$  and get a proper coloring. The new coloring contradicts claim 1.  $\square$

If all of  $v_1, \dots, v_\Delta$  are pairwise adjacent then  $G = K_{\Delta+1}$ , or otherwise  $\Delta(G) \geq \Delta + 1$ . So, assume without loss of generality that  $v_1 v_2 \notin E(G)$ . Consider the paths  $C_{1,2}, C_{1,3}$ . Let  $C_{1,2} = v_1 u \dots v_2$ . Flip the colors in  $C_{1,3}$ . We get a proper coloring of  $G$ . In this new coloring  $C_{1,2}$  and  $C_{3,2}$  both contain  $u$  contradicting claim 3.  $\square$

## References

- [1] West, *Introduction to graph theory*