Graph coloring Lecture notes, vol. 5 Planar Graphs

Lecturer: Michal Adamaszek

Scribe: Sokratis Theodoridis

Definition 1. G is planar if it can be drawn on \mathbb{R}^2 (the plane) so that edges intersect only at their common endpoints. We call such a drawing an "embedding" (some authors say "drawing").





Theorem 3. (Fáry, 1948) If G has an embedding, then it also has one where every edge is a straight line segment.

Remark 4. G can be treated as a topological space $[(CW-,\Delta-,simplicial-) \text{ complex}]$. Then G is planar if (as a topological space) it embeds into \mathbb{R}^2 (embedding \equiv continuous, injective map)



Observation 6. " K_5 is not planar"

Proof. In any planar embedding the cycle 1-2-3-4-5-1 has to be drawn as a polygon: We can draw at most 2 non intersecting diagonals inside this polygon.We can draw at most 2 non intersecting diagonals outside this polygon.But we have to draw 5 diagonals, so that is impossible.

Observation 7. " $K_{3,3}$ is not planar"

Proof. The 6-cycle has to be drawn as a polygon.We need edges: 15,26,34At most 1 can appear insideAt most 1 can appear outside



Definition 8.

- An edge subdivision is the replacement $\underbrace{v \quad w \quad v \quad z \quad w}_{\text{where } z \text{ is a new vertex.}}$
 - An edge contraction is the indentification of the two endpoints of an edge.
 - *H* is minor of *G* if *H* can be obtained from *G* by removing edges and contracting edges.

Theorem 9. The following are equivalent : (a) G is planar

(b) G contains no iterated subdivision of K₅ or K_{3,3} as a subgraph (Kuratowski, 1930)

(c) G has no K₅ or K_{3,3} as a minor (Wagner, 1937)



Remark 11. If G is planar then G has no K_5 or $K_{3,3}$ subdivision/minor as subgraph

 $(a) \implies (b), (a) \implies (c)$ are easy implications

Proof. An embedding of G would contain an embedding of K_5 or $K_{3,3}$

Theorem 12. (The Four-Color Theorem) Every planar graph is 4-colorable.

Proof history

- 1800-1850 first mentioned
- 1852 a student of De Morgan conjectured 4-colors are sufficient
- Cayley popularized it a lot
- 1879 Alfred Kempe published a proof
- 1880 Tait had another proof
- 1890 Heawood found an error in Kempe's proof (but proved the 5-color theorem), Petersen found an error in Tait's proof
- 1960 Heesh found a method that could give a proof but involved analysing a huge number of cases
- 1976 Appel, Haken analysed these cases with a computer (≈ 2000 cases)
- 1990 Robertson, Seymour and others gave a new computer-assisted proof (≈ 600 cases)

Definition 13. A face is any connected component of \mathbb{R}^2 after removing the embedded graph.

Observation 14. • *There is exactly one unbounded face.*

• Each face is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Observation 15. A graph is planar if and only if it can be embedded in S^2 (the sphere). Suppose G is embedded in S^2 . Pick a point of S^2 not in the embedding. Use the stereographic projection to map G onto \mathbb{R}^2 . Note that in a spherical embedding each face is bounded and homeomorphic to an open disk.



Example 16. Q_3 as planar graph.

<u>Notation</u> Suppose I have G with a fixed planar embedding (or spherical embedding) v = # vertices, e = # edges, f = # faces.

Theorem 17. (Euler's formula) If G is planar and connected, then for any planar embedding of G:

$$v - e + f = 2.$$

Proof. By induction

If f=1 then G has no cycles, as otherwise any cycle of the graph would separate \mathbb{R}^2 into at ≥ 2 parts. Hence G is a tree, e = v - 1 and

$$v - e + f = v - (v - 1) + 1 = 2.$$

If $f \ge 2$ then pick an edge $xy \in E(G)$ so that on the two sides of xy we have two different faces of the embedding. Now G - xy is planar, connected and it has f(G - xy) = f(G) - 1, e(G - xy) = e(G) - 1, v(G - xy) = v(G). The proof follows by induction.

Euler cared about regular polyhedra in \mathbb{R}^3 Very quick application: Classification of Platonic solids (regular polytopes).

Definition 18. A polytope is regular if:

- 1. All vertices have the same degree $k \ge 3$,
- 2. All faces are polygons with the same number of sides $l \ge 3$.

Let it have v vertices, e edges, f faces in the spherical embedding.

We have these equations: $\begin{cases} v - e + f = 2\\ kv = 2e\\ lf = 2e \end{cases}$

and so:

 $\begin{array}{l} e(\frac{2}{k}-1+\frac{2}{l})=2 \implies \frac{2}{k}+\frac{2}{l}=1+\frac{2}{e} \implies \frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{l}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{e}>\frac{1}{2}.\\ \text{This can be satisfied only for } (k,l)=(3,3), (3,4), (3,5), (4,3), (5,3). \end{array}$ For each case we uniquely determine v, e, f.

Corollary 19. Suppose G has at least three vertices.

(a) If G is planar then $e \leq 3v - 6$

(b) If G is planar and triangle free then $e \leq 2v - 4$

Proof. We can assume G is connected. Then v - e + f = 2. Count the edges around each face. Each face has length ≥ 3 so we get at least 3f. But each edge is counted twice, so we get exactly 2e. That $\begin{array}{l} \text{means } 2e \geqslant 3f \text{ or } f \leqslant \frac{2}{3}e. \\ 2 = v - e + f \leqslant v - e + \frac{2}{3}e = v - \frac{1}{3}e \end{array}$

 $e \leqslant 3v - 6$

If G is triangle-free then we have a stronger inequality $2e \ge 4f$ and continue the same way.

Observation 20. This gives another proof of non-planarity of $K_{3,3}$ and K_5 $K_5: v = 5, e = 10$ $10 \leq 3 \cdot 5 - 6$ $K_{3,3}$: is triangle-free, v = 6, e = 9 $9 \nleq 2 \cdot 6 - 4$